Senate Reverses Stand On Scholarships
Reaffirms Original Student Body Commitment of $15,000 to Fund

by Jeff Behrenbrun

The Trinity College Council, at an unusually well attended meeting Wednesday, heard Major Robert F. Bokern state that a student who willfully breaks the contract which he signed with the Air Force when enrolling in the program would be made "by faculty, administration, and students." There was general agreement among those in the program that academic credit should not be given for AFROTC courses. A report written by an AFROTC participant at the request of President Lockwood recommended that credit not be given for the courses.

Chaplain Alan C. Tull tells Wednesday's Senate meeting that even a student who willfully neglected his obligations, "could never bring before the student body the decision of whether the Senate should abide by its $15,000 pledge." On the 14th day the Senate moved to rescind their call for a referendum and to reaffirm their original scholarship pledge.

Chaplain is Philip Khourly, chairman of the Black Scholarship Fund Committee.

Title IV, the program would be made "by faculty, administration, and students." If a decision was made to retain AFROTC, he continued, the decision on granting academic credit for the program should be made "by the students who are responsible for the program." There was general agreement among those in the program that academic credit should not be given for AFROTC courses. A report written by an AFROTC participant at the request of President Lockwood recommended that credit not be given for the courses.
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Indian Givers

Last April, four days after the assassination of Martin Luther King, the undergraduate community of the College voted (out of its own pocket) $15,000 to assist in the establishment of scholarships for black students. The sense of determined commitment that was expressed by students at that all-college meeting and which was reinforced by the agreement that resulted from the April 22 sit-in led many to believe that this institution was sincere in its dedication to the cause of civil rights and equal opportunities for black Americans.

The Sunday night vote of the Senate to call a referendum to determine whether last year’s financial agreement for the administration would be honored was a repudiation of the ideals expressed last spring. That the motion carried by the overwhelming margin of 18-4 can be viewed either as an expression of grotesque insensitivity or as an example of total incompetence.

Thankfully, the Senate has reconsidered and reversed its vote as a result of the intervention of more concerned individuals. Nevertheless this unfortunate misadventure compels the entire undergraduate community to examine the causes of the present Senate’s apparent inability to fulfill and appreciate its responsibilities.

We feel that the members of the Senate are not entirely at fault for the ineffectiveness of student government this year; for the work of that organization reflects the apathy of their constituency. Chaplain Alan Tull, who attended the special Senate meeting on campus reminds one of a holy spirit where everyone works themselves into a frenzied hysteria in pursuit of an illusory end. The intelligent discussion of the problems and the meaningless intellectual dogma which SDS attempts to pass off as reasoning. For example, the idea that the simultaneous closing of all ROTC on campus would bring the military to the streets is anti-intellectual in the extreme. The ridiculous nature of this proposal is no different from what we often see from underground sources in the United States.

Despite the possibility that if we close ROTC on campus, it would disrupt the military to the point that the students would drive away the government’s man from Viet Nam and other areas where American business supports the Viet Cong. However, this is anti-intellectual in the extreme. The ridiculousness of the idea is no different from what we often see from underground sources in the United States.

But it is of little use to refute point by point SDS’s arguments which, because of their own overmilitarized and lack of knowledge, invalidates itself. Rather, we should look beyond their arguments and attempt to find a more powerful argument. What we find is the desire to become an institution that spends 50-60 million dollars a year. With the resources available to it, the Delta team has the opportunity to develop alternate means of "coercing" unsuspecting bodies in the wake of the ongoing war in the big bad military-industrial complex and make the economic complex seem less sinister.

The recent barrage of pseudo logic leveled at ROTC by the SDS and other like minded individuals may, tactically, serve to weaken the administration’s ability to defend the use of the National Guard in the United States. The information that we have is that the armament of the National Guard and the ROTC have been compiled by American businesses on a systematic basis. The ROTC is used to train and equip the military for the purpose of fighting wars. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

According to these plans, there will be a coordinated plot on every college campus across the nation at precisely 5:30 a.m. on March 17th. ROTC units will be lined up on routes from which they are presently affiliated with the military. The plans detailed specific campus sites to be occupied including the radio station, the newsroom, and the administration building. If any moves are made by the SDS, the ROTC cadet at Trinity College will be called in to perform their favorite pasttime — dropping napalm on defenseless professors. The ROTC is equipped with powerful arms and is trained to use them. Its members are trained in the art of death and destruction. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

Therefore, the ROTC is an Establishment Man. It is a force that the SDS will be "telling you good" and the ROTC cadets are trained to carry out the plans that have been compiled by American businesses. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

Letters to the Editor

Sign hell!

To the Editor:

While I personally have no feeling about what one opinion or another may be, I can see why so many of our students would like ROTC to stay. The ROTC, by its very nature, opposes the whirling of the absolute authority that they believe is the essence of militaristic pressure. But is it really necessary for every college to have ROTC? The ROTC is an Establishment Man. It is a force that the SDS will be "telling you good" and the ROTC cadets are trained to carry out the plans that have been compiled by American businesses. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly. The ROTC, despite its claims, has not stirred up a storm. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly. The ROTC, despite its claims, has not stirred up a storm. The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.

What we do is to make it last. Once the colleges have been secured, a systematic elimination of "offensive" elements on campus will begin. Lists for every campus in America have been compiled by American business interests in Guatemala, Chile, and also call, according to SDS, for the establishment of an ROTC camp in the United States. How can college campuses this coming fall avoid this fate? The ROTC is composed of cruel, brutal and insane individuals who are willing to challenge the military to the point of death. The ROTC is trained and equipped to perform in the desired manner and is expected to perform accordingly.
Bill of Rights

Article I. Liberty

1. No student shall be deprived of the right of free speech, free press, free assembly, and free association, guaranteed by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

2. A student's right to freedom of speech, free press, free assembly, and free association shall not prevent a college from maintaining a discipline consistent with its educational aims.
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Miles King Earns Victory, Hitters Trip Wesleyan 4-3

A four-run second inning combined with Miles King's eight-hit pitching gave the Trinity baseball team its first win of the season, 5-3 over Wesleyan. In the second inning, the Bantams scored three runs on two hits and an error, then added another run on a sacrifice fly. Trinity, which had four hits, was led by King, who pitched a complete game, striking out seven and walking one. Wesleyan, which had two hits, was led by Brian Titus, who had three hits. The Bantams return to their home field against Tufts. They conclude the year with three consecutive home games, so chances for a winning season appear to be good.

The Bantams hit four of their seven hits in the second inning, when Wesleyan gave up three runs on only one hit. King pitched a complete game, allowing only two hits, and struck out seven. Trinity scored three runs in the second inning on a sacrifice fly by catcher Bob Allen and a two-run single by third baseman Mike Johnson. Wesleyan, which had only two hits, was led by Brian Titus, who had three hits.

Tie UHar

Golfers Lose to Rhody

"Neither rain nor snow nor sleet nor wind nor gloom of night can stop these students from hitting the links." This old adage seems to be an accurate way of describing the Trinity golf team's performance against Rhode Island. They were able to put together a sustained offense and outplay Trinity in all aspects of the game.

The Bantam defense easily outplayed Trinity, allowing only two goals and six more contributed goals. Crease - attackman Prentice opened the scoring early in the first period with a point-blank shot to the lower right side of the cage. Moments later, junior midfielder Peter Wiles scored a second point-blank goal. The Bantams had four more goals in this period and three more in the second. Trinity took a 2-1 lead in the second half.

The second half saw a marked deterioration in the play of both teams. The rain, mud, and a stream running down the middle of the field reduced the game to a mud-fight. Trinity, which had six takes on goal, scored only one point. Bantam goalie Rorke, who had started for two years before moving to the goal this season, was on his game.

So impressive was Rorke, that Coach Joe Wilson has decided to start him in the goal for this Saturday's game against Tufts. This strategy is a good move, as the Bantams will be able to put together a sustained defense.

The Bantams made a fine showing against Loambe, and for the first time this season, they were able to put together a sustained offense. Their first victory of the season, they were able to put together a sustained offense. Their first victory of the season, they were able to put together a sustained offense.