NOV. 14 - Forty-five Trinity stu-

dents have answered letters sent 

during the Thanksgiving recess.
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Mather Board SpONSORS FlIGHT

The Mather Hall Board of Governors will sponsor a Simmer Flight to Europe this year. The group had sponsored one such flight previously.

The flight will depart from New York in June after completing travel in Europe. The round trip, New York-Amsterdam-London-New York will be $354, a saving of $150, for each person, judging by the cost of a total travel ticket. A minimum of 25 people must sign up for the trip, for land travel arrangements are involved.

At present, the Board reported that 20 places have been reserved, a more definite number has been given by the American Airlines by April 15. By international agreement, no funds can be made available until May 15. Students interested in employment in Holland for a six to eight week period during the summer should contact the Mather Office. Through cooperation with the various industries in major cities of the Netherlands, a KILM committee will make arrangements for job placement, for obtaining room and board, and for travel. A number of an international students identification card, which will be granted on the basis of academic achievement, financial need, and recommendation by the student's college. Funds can be made available after May 10.

The Mather Hall Board of Governors, in cooperation with the College, is offering a course in German, with special emphasis on the college campus. It has been decided that the course be available to students interested in taking a semester in Germany. It is not too late to sign up. Applications must be submitted no later than Feb. 15.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will begin in the fall of 1961, and will meet three times a week. The cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.

The course will be taught by its founder, Dr. William Johnson, who has spent the last eighteen years teaching German at Wesleyan University. The course will begin in the fall of 1961. The total number of students will be limited to 25, but the cost of the course will be $304, a saving of $199.60 to the student, as compared to the cost of tuition and fees in the German schools.
The Chemistry Department

Students labor in the lab as new chemistry curriculum swings into operation. (Fidei1 Photo)

Edith's note: This is the first in a series of studies of the New Curriculum written by Trinity Department heads who will appear regularly in the coming issues of the TRIPD. Each department head was asked to discuss in a short article how the New Curriculum would be implemented in his department, the methods that will be used to intensify course study, the type of comprehensive that will be given, and the changes and problems that the New Curriculum will bring.

The Chemistry Department is adopting the requirements of the new curriculum year at a time. The new curriculum went into effect with last year's freshman class. This class is now in the sophomore year. We will not be fully on the new curriculum for two more years.

No significant curriculum changes have been made in the first two years of chemistry. Many changes, however, are contemplated for the third and fourth year. For example, for the next year, all chemistry majors will have two afternoons of laboratory work per week in Organic Chemistry. The second afternoon will allow time for Qualitative Organic Analysis which is being added to the present course.

Another change is in the combining of the present one and one-half years of Physical Chemistry into a single course to be taken in the junior year. This is being accomplished by the addition of a second afternoon to the present requirement in course 407-408.

THESE CHANGES ARE made necessary by the new requirements allowing only six courses to be taken in a major field. We expect trouble here for men entering with inadequate preparation in chemistry and required to start with a major in chemistry. Some difficulties have already appeared in the case of men entering with advanced placement in chemistry. These men start with second year chemistry and are out of step with the carefully planned schedule.

It is our fond hope and expectation that we can and will do a good job in turning out chemists if the college will provide us with the proper raw material with which to work.

Prof. Sterling B. Smith
Chairman
Department of Chemistry

THE BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES
SALUTE: LOU JENTZEN

Lou Jentzen (B.S.E.E., 1957) is responsible for a bank of computers and the eight people who program their accounting runs. Lou is Business Systems Staff Supervisor for Southern New England Telephone Co. in New Haven, Connecticut.

Lou proved himself in assignments dealing with transmission, inductive interference and computers, he was promoted to Staff Assistant. And then came his big step to the supervisory level. A talented engineer, Lou Jentzen! Lou Jentzen and other young engineers like him in Bell Telephone Companies throughout the country help bring the finest communications service in the world to the homes and businesses of a growing America.
Trin Faces Rival Wesleyan

by PETE KINZLER

Nov. 15 - A first-up Trinity, fresh from a 21-1 upset over Amherst, will be out to avenge their oldest traditional rival, Wesleyan, Sat. at 1:30 at Middletown.

The Trinity-Wesleyan rivalry dates back to 1885, when the Wesleyan Cardinals garnered a 60-6 triumph, which they followed up with a 26-0 romp in 1887. Since then, it has been a hard-fought series, which has found Trinity on the long end of a 5-9 score three times, while Wesleyan's victories include a 4-0 win.

Overall, the Cardinals hold a 35-20-1 lead in the series, and they are the only team that holds a serious edge over Jessee-coached Saints (15-11-1). However, since 1994, Trinity has won six, dropped one and tied one. Last year, the Saints scored the first five times.

Josephson's Goal, Two Assists

Pace 3-1 Win Over Coast Guard

by JACk O'NEIL

New London, Nov. 15 - The varsity soccer team, who claimed their third straight victory over Union two weeks ago, scored a 3-1 win over Coast Guard here today, as the starters achieved an overall victory.

In the first period, the center forward O'Neil played a ball in and from twelve yards out booted it past Cadet goalie Thompson. Four minutes later, with the period less than half over, the Trinmen were ahead 2-0 as Josephson provided the assist, this time to center half-Wright.

In the final period, the two teams seemed to be merely a defensive contest as drizzle and a strong wind provided the offensive aids of each team. Overall, there are only five seniors on the starting lineup, but the rest of the team has been gaining in valuable experience and would like nothing better than to upset Trinity's appear.