

The Trinity Tripod

Vol. LXVIII, No. 38

TRINITY COLLEGE, HARTFORD

March 6, 1970



What Goes Up...

(Sullivan Photo)

Large balloon, with Dean Fuller aboard, was brought to the College Thursday to dramatize the upcoming Earth Day, an environmental campus happening to take place Wed., April 22.

Academic Standards Deteriorating Says Report to Wesleyan Faculty

by Mark Welshimer

A report by three Wesleyan University faculty members showed that academic standards are deteriorating at the university, and that Wesleyan students' chances for admission to the top graduate schools are diminishing.

David Adamany, dean of Wesleyan, submitted the paper "On Academic Standards and Procedures in Wesleyan" to the faculty on Jan. 27.

Another committee, under the chairmanship of George Creeger, professor of romance languages, has been appointed to study the report.

Grades at Wesleyan were heavily weighted in the upper brackets, the report showed. Seventy-eight and one-half per cent of all grades reported in the spring term, 1969, were A's or B's, 15.7 per cent were C's, and 5.8 per cent were in the D, E, F range. Only grades of A and B were reported in 160 of the 523 courses offered.

"There seems to be justification for believing that some members of the faculty are not sure how the present grading system should be used; this is especially true with the lower categories of the system," the report said.

The report noted that the number of poor grades and outright failing grades was very low, particularly when compared with similar institutions. These conditions "indicate that complaints circulating within various groups on the campus about the oppressive and traumatic nature of the grading system do not correspond to the facts of the system," the report said.

The report said that grades were turned in for students who had been

absent from courses for the better part of the semester or who had left the University in several instances.

Wesleyan offers only designated courses pass-fail. In some pass-fail courses, "instructors did not turn in reports for all the students enrolled in their courses. In one case there were no reports sub-

mitted for a class which had an enrollment of more than 50," the report said.

The paper noted that "faculty have offered tutorials which do not fall within their professional discipline." It said that students tend to look upon tutorials as either non-courses or as "fifth" (Continued on page 4)

Connecticut Skies

Clean Air Proposal Debated

by Jan Gimar

Clean air was given the endorsement of about 20 organizations Monday in a hearing before the Connecticut Clean Air Commission that filled the Hall of the House at the State House.

The hearing concerned a Commission proposal that would require Connecticut industry to use only fuel with less than one per cent sulphur content. Such a regulation, according to the commission, would reduce the amount of sulphur dioxide polluting the air by at least half.

At least 30 persons, speaking for various organizations and as private citizens, endorsed the proposal without reservation. Doctors, health officials from state and local boards, and ecologists emphasized the proposal's importance to public health.

Spokesmen for some of the state's major air polluters, including General Electric, United

Illuminating, and Northeast Utilities endorsed the proposal and said they would comply with the regulations if adopted by the legislature. The polluters did qualify their acceptance by emphasizing the slight cost increase for their goods or services. The United Illuminating utility company estimated the average increase in electric bills would amount to six per cent.

The only total opposition to the bill was voiced by Henri B. VanZelm of VanZelm, Heywood and Shadford of West Hartford. VanZelm accused the Commission of basing the proposal on "emotion, hysteria, and political gain."

Other persons and groups opposed the proposal by demanding even more stringent regulation. Noting that New Jersey will require fuel-sulphur limits of three-tenths of one per cent by 1971, several speakers urged Connecticut to push

for the same goal by 1972.

Many speakers made reference to "coughing up blood," and "the value of human life" as compared to coughing up money for pollution control.

The speaker receiving the most applause, however, was a West Hartford junior high school student speaking for STOP, Students and Teachers Opposing Pollution. Bobby Singer apparently struck a soft spot in many observers as he stood at the microphone, shirt-tail half out and hair matted, and declared, "Your own kids are going to have to breathe this stuff."

Other organizations represented at the hearing included the Thames Science Center, Connecticut Valley Action Center, Connecticut Action Now, and HEAL (Hartford Ecology Action League), which sent six demonstrators, apparently students, who sat through the hearing holding anti-pollution placards and wearing surgeons' face masks.

Senate Elections 'Invalid' As Three Slates Withdraw

20% of Students Vote

by Steven Pearlstein

"There can be no constitutional or legitimate student senate or executive committee until a new constitution is drawn up by the students," declared Starkey. "The big game of student politics is over."

"All students are now president of the student body," he said.

Starkey declared that a constitutional convention "will allow all students to contribute to the total reorganization of this college's governance."

Two tickets, headed by Howard C. Dickler, '72, and Bruce E. Menees, '72, declared in their Tuesday night speeches that they would join with the Starkey ticket in non-participation in the "illegal" elections.

Before Dickler announced that he was no longer a candidate, he told the crowd of over 200 several fairy tales, some original and some unoriginal, which drew laughter and applause from the audience.

All day on Wednesday, before the polling began, the "non-candidates" distributed papers and put up signs urging students not to vote in the elections. Osher and Wu used the same methods to encourage voting that evening.

In the at-large senate elections, no class had forty per cent of its potential voters cast ballots, so that all of those elections were invalid.

Only one candidate, Susanna Heschel, '73, running unopposed from the Jackson constituency to fill a vacancy, was added to the senate membership as a result of the elections.

The elections committee announced Wednesday that it was the sense of the committee that the poor showing at the polls indicated a student's mandate for a constitutional convention, according to Richard M. McCrensky '72, a member of the committee.

Except for the possibility of a rerun for at the at-large senate posts for the class of '71, the members of the committee feel that they have done the job as outlined by the Senate and in the constitution, and that their job is finished.

The elections committee is undecided about rerunning the at-large elections for the class of '71 because the name of Edward A. Beacom was omitted from the ballot.

Another name for a senate candidate from the class of '73 was also omitted from the ballot. The committee found the error just before polling time, and instructed the election takers to write in the name on each freshman ballot. The candidate, Andrew I. Wolf, made a formal complaint to the committee.

(Continued on page 4)

Candidates Call Paper 'Arrogant'

The TRIPOD came under attack by two slates of candidates Tuesday for what presidential candidate Noah H. Starkey, '72, called "arrogant, irresponsible, and biased journalism."

John F. Bahrenburg '72, editor of the TRIPOD, refused to comment on the charges and said the paper would release a policy statement in the near future.

The Meenes and the Starkey tickets issued a complaint against the paper to the Senate elections committee, but they later withdrew the complaint.

Starkey charged that the TRIPOD refused to print a letter of his in the issue Tuesday, a letter which directly opposed the stance of his election opponent, Richard H. Schaefer, '71.

Starkey said that an accompanying memo was attached to the letter asking the editor to inform him if it was not to be run in Tuesday's issue so that he could disseminate the information in some other manner.

In an interview Tuesday, Starkey complained of the TRIPOD editorial which said his ticket, gave "every indication" that they will do nothing.

"We gave no indication of that whatsoever," he said. "That was outstripping the bounds of good journalism."

The Meenes platform complained of unfair space allotment on page 8 of the Tuesday issue, where excerpts from platforms were printed. They maintained that their original statement was "butchered."

John Farrenkopf '73, vice-president on the Meenes ticket, complained of a sentence in the editorial which said that his platform "offers no suggestion on how the Senate can be more representative." He said he and his running mates cited four such examples in an interview in the TRIPOD office Sunday night.

Trinity Tripod

EDITORIAL SECTION

March 6, 1970

Constitutional Convention?

The student body's failure to elect a new Executive Council marks a grave setback to student government at the College; it places the students' appeal for a more significant role in the ultimate decision-making processes in an almost indefensible position.

The three thickets that withdrew from the election, claiming it invalid on a petty constitutional technicality, symbolize the impatience and irresponsibility that has traditionally crippled student government.

Though the three tickets' charge of unconstitutionality is correct, their position can be defended solely by virtue of their assertion that the present Senate constitution is unworkable. If the present constitution is not the source of the problem, then their calls for the complete destruction of student government until "totally new" forms are found, can hardly be justified.

The past Senate wisely chose to overlook the clause restricting elections to the month of February so that speeches might be held and platforms publicized. The unforeseen announcement that Mr. Keeney and Mr. Mason would not seek re-election certainly made the postponement essential for an effective election. The Senate was fully cognizant of the fact that they had violated the constitution, yet was confident that the referendum necessary to overrule their decision would not be initiated. Their action in this respect was in the best interests of the student body.

To destroy the Senate solely on the constitutionality question would be absurd. Arguments to the contrary have not been presented. Instead the three tickets chose to play up the constitutionality question as a means to arouse the cynicism and impatience of the student body. That an election was to be held four days late somehow became solid proof that the Senate's whole structure was completely unacceptable. Suddenly a constitutional convention became the panacea for student government problems.

Just what alternative structure do the three tickets propose? What, specifically, is wrong with the present constitution? These essential questions remain unanswered.

Whether the tickets' actions should be considered acts of self-indulgent exhibitionism or a simple failure to consider the consequences of what was done cannot easily be determined. In favor of the former we might ask why the Starkey platform chose not to reveal their complaints earlier. By concealing their charges until the last moment, the members of the Starkey ticket deprived the students of the opportunity to consider them carefully. They also conveniently exempted themselves from having to defend their position. Their conspicuous failure to offer one specific example supporting the claim that the Senate was "antiquated," on the other hand, leads to the conclusion that they did not look beyond their own passionate convictions to consider the consequences of their actions. To call for an "end to rhetoric" and supply no reason in its place is a well-known rhetorical device.

That the Senate is not as effective or representative as it should be, most will readily admit. But the College's decision-making process, not the Senate constitution, is to blame. 200, 300 or even 900 students floundering around the Washington room will hardly change the present system of governance—nor will a sit-in or a Chuck Stone affair. This has been proven in the past. Perhaps the three tickets think that the faculty, administration, and trustees will feel so sympathetic at student impotence that they will miraculously give away their sacred authority.

The system of governance has undermined efforts at establishing a truly meaningful student government. But the system cannot and will not correct itself. Students must build a strong representative government that can work with other constituent governments toward defining and enforcing a workable system of college governance. The present Senate constitution allows for such efforts.

Talk of establishing a "TOTALLY NEW, democratic student government with a legitimate base of power" is meaningless rhetoric. The student body's inability to sustain the interest and unwillingness to expend the energy, necessary to realize the inherent potential in the present system seriously calls into question whether student government will ever be in a position to challenge the present decision-making process.

It is clearly infeasible that a constitutional convention will be able to create a new system that will make up for this very basic failure of the students themselves.

LETTERS to the editor

'pretensions'

To the Editor:

In response to numerous inquiries, I wish to make it quite clear that Mr. Dickler does not now, never has, and never will have, any connection whatsoever with the Trinity-Yale Monarchist Societies. As a serious political body, we do not appreciate or approve of Mr. Dickler's ridiculous pretensions.

Bruce Wallace, '70
Trinity Monarchist Society

'necessity'

To the Editor:

In an attempt to clarify some of the issues surrounding the recent meetings of the history department, it seems that the basic necessity for possible questioning and revisions isn't settled in many people's minds. One needn't be a radical to sense the urgency of the times, the immediacy of the climactic problems that the world is in the throes of. Questioning from the student's standpoint of the educational process and its relatedness to the social questions is urgent and needed. There are grave questions to be asked and answered about the role of education in America. Exactly how the submissiveness and indecision and long adolescence are propagated by the schools and funneled into society at the end of a twenty-five year old umbilical cord. One doesn't have to be radical to sense that the Great Provider has gone berserk someplace; and that while he is feeding and mesmerizing his children he is raping and pillaging his neighbors. The ultimate irony, which seems to be the hardest to grasp in all its crucial implications, are how his own children are mastered and sent to war of themselves.

What is necessary is that a critical spirit be maintained. There is great concern that what we must learn if we are to be able to master ourselves, remain detached to maintain that self balanced with commitment to people and the lessons we learn, is not approachable as we stand as majors. We must assume the role of the Outsider as Insider: a direct result of the

failure of the educational process to provide the meeting grounds for this questioning. Marc Bloch, for all students of history, perhaps the historian's historian wrote of Frenchmen in STRANGE DEFEAT, while in the Resistance shortly before being murdered by the Nazis: "how many had the courage to speak their thoughts aloud?"

Eric D. Manheimer '71

'king'

To the Editor:

Now that we're all well aware of the events of the night of the election speeches and the following (illegal) elections, some suggestions.

Those elections were illegal for two reasons; not only did the Senate do us the favor of (legally) eliminating itself, we were given a king. Kings aren't elected. Howard C. Dickler is king, whether he knows it or not. I call him to his throne, with attendant princes. Now, when he may still be able to save us from our four-year hibernation and "elected" committee-conceivers.

The King of the Hill, Lord and Protector of Students, must have a proper investiture ceremony. On some fitting occasion (perhaps St. Patrick's Day?), King Howard must have placed on his head before all his people the great gift turkey's head crown which has been passed on for aeons since last Thanksgiving (when somebody spilled melted yellow wax on the DKE festival meal). Perhaps David Eliet can arrange the ceremony.

The Monarchy is the only acceptable form of government for Trinity College. King Howard, as a full autocrat, will have no need for great strings of dangling committees such as those which now drag about the neck of the sleepy community. He will say "Frog," and everyone will hop.

I suggest to the King (humbly -- one only suggests to kings, and VERY humbly, for reasons which will soon become apparent) that he implement his power first in calling the students to arms. Given the proper arms and leadership,

there is nothing that could slow the advance of the Invincible Army of the King of the Hill except, perhaps, lack of diversion. Led by the lead-weighted guitar of General Dick Hess (for whom the Army might ever afterward be called the Hessians), this great militia would, by dint of well-directed aphrodisiacs and any necessary force-feeding equipment, change even the slowest and most ironbound of Trinity students into loyal followers of the Crown. Overrunning St. Anthony's, the peasants-turned-soldiers might take control of the Tripod editorship; if it must be dominated by one fraternity, let it be dominated by DKE, whose editorship would probably result in far more animated copy.

Presidents, deans, and other illegal forms of governance would go the way of anyone else who stood in the path of the Crown on its way to glory. Deans and Marchisottos alike would be eliminated on the scaffold, for the King will show no preference. He, King Howard, is a believer in equality.

It will take a very short time for King Howard to unite the College, vested as he is with complete power over all aspects of its existence. Once united, we might move as a body under, again, the leadership of General Hess to Middletown, where we might conquer the Wesleyan state. Fueled by mercenaries from this and other such conquests, who knows where the Monarchy might go?

Think of it! No more committees, no more Senators sleeping in the Senate Room (whose official name has been changed to Office of Chancellor of the Crown). Someone suggested that the Monarchy might spawn a House of Lords. A House of Lords disappeared with the passing of the Senate, and the King needs no such tomfoolery.

Scholastically, all will be well. Classes will be adjusted according to the King's judgment, and faculty will wisely remain silent on Crown activities, minding the business of teaching and accepting Crown appointments quietly and politely. All of Trinity will function smoothly, quickly, and effectively.

LONG LIVE THE KING!

John C. McLoughlin

Shun the Fruminous Bandersnatch

The Trinity Political Tradition

by David Sarasohn

Next to living in Allen East, watching a Senate election is about the most fun one can have at Trinity. Connoisseurs of the sport differ as to the most exquisite aspect; many wouldn't miss the TRIPOD coverage of the tickets; others prefer watching students wander up and, when sure they are unobserved by any acquaintance, vote; some of the more dedicated ironists even read the platforms.

But serious students of the genre, who are looking not for cheap thrills but a profound statement on the human condition, swear by the all-College meetings to meet the candidates. Only there are raw, naked emotions unleashed, as the candidates and the audience strive to see which can show more contempt for the other. This year, for at least the fourth straight time, the candidates won.

Tuesday, I arrived in time to hear one ticket breathlessly announce that the election was illegal. I was rapt as we appeared to be heading for the best all-college meeting since one in freshman year that was attended by eight people. My enthusiasm waned, however, as I discovered why it was illegal; a mere bagatelle

concerning the elections being held in the wrong month.

Considering some of the really fine reasons Senate elections have been illegal in the past, this hardly seemed worth mentioning. I remember with special relish last year, when the elections took place only after a constitutional amendment had been railroaded through the Senate with a waiting period closer to two hours than the required two weeks; when one Presidential aspirant found it unnecessary to bother with petitions, and nominated himself by the simpler expedient on signing his name on the list of candidates; when almost the entire new Senate was, unconstitutionally, on academic probation; and when the rules and Constitution of the Senate were generally ignored to such an extent that the new Senators spent most of the first meeting congratulating each other, before unconstitutionally elected an Executive Council.

One can only conclude that the candidates who brought up this piddling matter of the calendar were ignorant of our grand tradition. For one reason or another (usually both), every Senate election since I have gotten here has been il-

legal. Indeed, research done among seniors disclosed that the election of April 1967, when the Senate President was still elected by the Senate, was illegal. There is, therefore, no student currently attending Trinity College who has ever seen a legal Senate election. Away with such irrelevancies as Ivy, this is the sort of tradition that ought to be preserved. I, for one, have absolutely no intention of ever voting in a legal Senate election, and stay out of my dorm.

The only proper attitude toward this on the part of any of the tickets was that of the Dickler ticket, who pointed out quite logically that since their standard bearer was King, the election was invalid anyway. Far from being the disruptive influence that some anti-monarchists had feared, the King read elevating sections of Nietzsche and Thurber to his subjects, in a properly regal manner.

There was a little bit of excitement toward the end, when someone suggested that not only was the Senate illegitimate, but the Administration was too. Upon closer examination, however, it turned out he only meant certain members of it.

Editor

John F. Bahrenburg '72

Business Manager

Richard B. Thomson Jr. '71

Published twice weekly on Tuesdays and Fridays during the academic year except vacations by students of Trinity College. Published at West Hartford News, Isham Road, West Hartford, Conn.

Student subscription included in activities fee; others \$8.50 per year. Second class postage paid at Hartford, Connecticut, under the act of March 3, 1879.

Offices located in the basement of Mather Hall, Trinity College, Hartford, Connecticut 06106.

Telephones: 246-1829 or 527-3153, ext. 252

Skimming

The Doors Swing Back

by George Evans

Once more into the breach, dear fiends, and with malice at hand and little underfoot, I bring you this week's batch of groovies. Oh, yeah. I was wrong about the Illinois Speed Press' second album, it really is much better than I thought. But what isn't.

MORRISON HOTEL, Doors, Elektra.

If you disliked the 'Soft Parade' as much as I did, and yearn for the good old days when The Doors were synonymous with good, hard rock, then you will probably enjoy this album as much as I do. The voice of the Doors is still Morrison, and he's still into his own philosophy as much as ever, but the backing and general musicianship is of a much higher caliber than ever before. Morrison needs some lessons in basic phrasing to give the songs more individuality, but, like I said, it leaves Soft Parade far behind. Best cuts (they're almost all good) include 'Roadhouse Blues,' 'Peace Frog,' and 'Blue Sunday.' Not bad, finally --- B.

RIGHT ON, Wilson Pickett, Atlantic.

I usually dislike anything the wicked man does, but this set shows real promise. In this album Pickett shows his unusually fine

voice and that he really does have some taste, after all. The arrangements are generally well-done (nobody's perfect), and two extraordinary, gospel-flavored tunes show up as the best of all. The two, 'Lord Pity Us All,' and 'Steal Away,' make beautiful vehicles for both Pickett's and his back-up singers' voices. Not bad, at all --- B.

ROCK AND ROLL REVIVAL, Bill Haley and the Comets, Warner's.

Yes, Sir! This has all the man's best cuts, including 'Blue Suede Shoes,' 'Rock Around the Clock,' 'I'm in Love Again,' and 'Whole Lotta Shakin' Goin' On.' Everybody has heard the granddaddy of rock, so I don't need to describe the cuts. The production sounds like 1956, but it may just be bad Warner's, 1970. Who cares? B.

RARE BIRD, Rare Bird, Probe.

Another heavy English group has entered the rat race, but should not be overlooked. All cuts deserve attention, but most especially 'Beautiful Scarlet,' and 'Bird on a Wing.' The production and top-flight virtuosity on behalf of all concerned is to be commended, and, if possible, purchased. Leaves very little to be desired if you're into good English rock. Well done -- A minus.

JOY OF A TOY, Kevin Ayers, Harvest.

If you liked the Soft Machine's second excellent endeavor, then this set should make you reasonably happy. This newcomer label also has Pink Floyd within its fold, and it becomes rather obvious that they are trying to get the 'Most Far-out Rock Label' award for this year. Polytonic, somewhat chaotic rock was never one of my greater loves, but some people who should know say that this album deserves five stars. I don't know. Cuts like 'The Lady Rachel,' and 'Oleh Oleh Bandu Bandong,' are nice, but I can't take a whole forty minutes of it. I'm sure he's trying -- B minus.

Well, there it be. The Beatles are due any day now. Don't miss that when it arrives. Meanwhile, enjoy 'Let It Be' and turn on WRTC-Fm, 89.3, on Tuesday's to hear any of the above albums. (1:00 to 3:00 P.M. is a good time.) Ta for now.



Ballet at Smith:

Edward Villella and Patricia McBride will perform with the Boston Ballet on April 6, 7, and 8 at 8:30 p.m. at the Center for Performing Arts, Smith College, Northampton, Mass. The two featured dancers are stars of the New York City Ballet. Tickets are \$8 and \$7 and will be on sale beginning March 9 through March 20 and March 31 through April 8 at the box office of the Center for Performing Arts.

Amherst Faculty Votes Creation Of Black Studies; Meets Deadline

The faculty of Amherst College, conforming to a time limit set by black students, voted Tuesday to establish a black studies program at the college.

The action was a result of the building takeover by 200 black students from the five-college area Feb. 18.

The demands presented by the blacks at Amherst were given to each of the five colleges: Amherst, Mt. Holyoke, Smith, Hampshire, and the University of Massachusetts. Amherst is the first college to respond affirmatively to the demands.

In addition to creating a black studies program at the college, the faculty approved two other black proposals:

- 1) To recognize a Five-College Black Studies Executive Committee when it is formed, and to give it the power of recommending future appointments to the black studies department and future course offerings.
- 2) To urge the president and the student-faculty curriculum

committee to appoint, with the advice and consent of the black students, a faculty member to serve on the interim Five College Black Studies Executive Committee.

The action taken by Amherst will only establish a black studies program at that institution. Any five-college, coordinated program will result only with the affirmative response of the other four.

The University of Massachusetts is now discussing the proposals made by a student-faculty committee on the creation of the W.E.B. DuBois Black Studies Program

which has been under study for over a year. It is hoped that this program can become the inter-institutional program sought by the five-college black community.

Approval of the DuBois program must be gained from the faculty and student senates, the academic deans, the provost, and the Board of Trustees. An informed UMass source said in an interview Wednesday that the two most difficult of the clearing houses would be the faculty senate and the academic deans. He said the deans have already voiced approval of the program.

Travelers Gives Research Corporation to University

The University of Connecticut was given control of the three million dollar Travelers Research Corporation and the Center for the Environment and Man, courtesy of the Travelers Insurance Company, at a statehouse conference Tuesday.

According to the Connecticut Daily-CAMPUS, UConn's Board of Trustees will now have the power to select the directors of the

Center, which comes equipped with three million dollars in assets and a staff of 125.

The University will use the Center in the study of environmental sciences. President Homer D. Babbidge announced that a joint student-faculty committee would be appointed to determine the direction of the program. Dr. Thomas F. Malone, a senior vice-president of Travelers and chairman of the board of the Research Corporation, will join the UConn faculty as a professor of physics and serve as an adviser for the Center. He will also be a special consultant to the president on environmental problems.

Governor John Dempsey called the gift "an outstanding example of partnership between the state and private enterprise for the common good."

Babbidge said the move was "a vote of confidence in our state university" on the part of Travelers, as well as "a generous statement of concern for the public good."

Exams Self-Scheduled At Williams

A system of "self-schedules" final examinations has been proposed by a student committee at Williams College. The proposed system would allow each student to choose the time he would be given a final exam.

Such a system is presently in use at Haverford, Connecticut College, Amherst, Smith, Glaucher, Reed, Princeton and Mt. Holyoke.

The committee cited a rebirth of the honor code as a major advantage of the system. According to The Williams RECORD, interviews with Holyoke faculty brought observations of no increase in cheating since the system was instituted.

THE SNEAKY SHOE BY TOPSIDER
MEN'S & WOMEN'S SIZES \$24
Open Friday Evening 'til 9 P.M.

46 LA SALLE ROAD
WEST HARTFORD, CONN. 06107

IMMEDIATE
FREE DELIVERY

from 9 a.m. to 7 p.m.
417 New Britain Ave.
Hartford

D&D PACKAGE
STORE INC.

One of Hartford's largest
supplies of Keg beers-free
cooler and pumps-phone
249-6833.

Academy Award Nominee
BEST PICTURE

COMING SOON
Cine WEBB
563-0191
Free Parking
SILAS DEANE HIGHWAY, WETHERSFIELD

Elections Invalid...

The Senate as a body still exists. With Miss Heschel, there are 26 members of the senate from the constituencies. There is question, however, if this body can ever get a quorum.

The senate constitution is "unavailable" at the present time, according to chairman of the senate constitution committee, Lenn C. Kupferberg '73. But Kupferberg was undecided Wednesday night if the constitution calls for two-thirds of the actual senators for a quorum, or two-thirds of all the potential senators (i.e. at-large senators included) to make a quorum.

No written copy of the entire constitution exists in final form at the present moment, according to Kupferberg.

After the results of the election were announced, the ex-candidates from the Menees and Starkey tickets issued a joint statement claiming that the "non-election results clearly indicate that the students have discarded the

ineffectual and antiquated senate."

"The election results, or what may be better termed, the non-election results, clearly indicate that the students have discarded the ineffectual and antiquated senate. To allow this stunted body to continue is a travesty of justice and democracy. In the words of a member of the now defunct Amherst Student Council (Senate), 'To maintain the Student Council (Senate) is to sustain the myth of student government as being a meaningful way for students to voice their concern and influence decisions. Activities must be held in abeyance until effective new approaches can be found.'"

Wu said of the election results, "If this is what the students Really want, we hope that everything will work out well. We will support student attempts to form new structures in governance."

Osher said that he felt the student body had made a "sincere mistake" in not electing senators and an executive council. "My

only hope is that all progress and opportunity for student expression will not come to an abrupt halt." Osher said he would continue to work "for a more acceptable and efficient student voice in governance."

Both Wu and Osher refused to bow out of the elections on the grounds that they were illegal because they felt that if student power could be consolidated within the senate first, more gains could be made by a governance convention.

After the all-college meeting on Tuesday night, Stuart W. Mason, '71, past president of the executive council, said that he was "disappointed" in the all-college meeting.

"If we're talking about power to the people, we're talking about people seizing power," said Mason. "So why didn't somebody seize the microphone and proclaim the end of the meeting and forget about the rules?" he asked.

The election committee is responsible by the senate constitution to publish all results. They have not announced whether they will officially give the actual voting breakdown by candidates.

The elections committee had decided on Tuesday night before the all-college meeting that, as they interpreted the constitution of the senate, heretofore unseen, a majority of votes cast would be necessary to win the executive council elections if the 40 per cent student participation was reached.

Od Squad

Volunteers are needed to:

- 1.) help in arts and crafts workshop,
- 2.) tutor
- 3.) teach a musical instrument.

Call anytime

246-1809

Trinity, UHar Combine To Form Hoop Tourney

Trinity and the University of Hartford will host an invitational basketball tournament beginning in the 1970-'71 season, it was recently announced.

In a joint effort Saturday before the annual basketball game between the two schools, UHar Athletic Director A. Peter LoMaglio and Trinity's head Karl Kurth revealed plans for a Hartford-Trinity Invitational Tournament.

The two-day event will be held early in the season and will alternate yearly from one campus to the other. The first tournament will be held Dec. 4 and 5, 1970, at the Ferris Athletic Center. The 1971 tourney will be held on the Hartford campus.

Two upstate New York teams, Clarkson Institute of Technology and St. Lawrence University, have accepted invitations for the first tournament to be held next December.

Two years in planning, the tournament calls for each Hartford quintet to play both New York opponents once. There will be four games, two on Friday night, Dec.

4, and two on Saturday afternoon, Dec. 5.

Trinity and the University of Hartford will share the costs of the tournament which will be funded under a self-liquidating account separate from the athletic budgets of the two institutions.

The announcement of the two athletic directors said, "The purpose of the tournament is to provide the students from each school and basketball fans in the community an early opportunity to see both city quintets in action on the same floor. It also is a means by which to bring to Hartford opponents not normally on the schedule of the two local institutions."

Wesleyan...

courses. "A fifth course is one that can be taken safely because it does not represent a significant supplement of work and because it is presupposed that ANY display of work will win honors grades."

One-hundred and fifty-nine incomplete grades were turned in for 75 students in the fall term, 1968, and 221 incompletes for 178 students in the spring term, 1969.

"Students are coming to believe that it is natural rather than uncommonly permissible to turn in work whenever they wish and in whatever condition of eccentric English they possess or prefer," the report said.

The report said that in multi-division courses, grades were considerably lower in some divisions than others. The distribution was attributed to the fact that (1) new members of the faculty appear to use the whole range of the grading system, and (2) members with longer tenure appear to move towards the use of a narrow range of the system which is characteristic of over-all grading practices.

The report noted that the number of regularly-scheduled final examinations and the percentage of courses with such examinations has declined sharply in recent years. One-hundred and ninety-six exams were taken in the fall of 1967, and 96 in the spring of 1969.

"The present calendar of the University puts a certain amount of pressure on faculty members not to schedule final exams so that students are not required to return to Middletown from distant places," the report said.

Graduate schools returned 311 acceptances and 245 rejections to

Wesleyan's 1969 class. "Although Wesleyan regards itself as one of the leading undergraduate institutions, the record of acceptances by graduate institutions does not reflect such a strong standing. Of 72 applications filed with distinguished graduate departments, 36 were rejected, two applicants withdrew or remained on waiting lists, and 34 were accepted" the report said.

The report said that only one applicant has been accepted at Yale Law School in three years, and only three or four yearly at Harvard. "The Wesleyan degree is not regarded with any particular reverence by law school admission committees, and in several institutions the ambiguity of many of our evaluation techniques was noted," the report said.

According to one Wesleyan student, Edwin Etherington, former president of the university who resigned in January to run for the U.S. Senate from Connecticut, said, "I would be much more concerned if the report were on why there is too much emphasis on grades at Wesleyan."

NEW YORK TO LONDON

Summer Vacation Trips

Round Trip — \$169

Now Filling — Small

Deposit and Payments

Send For Free Details.

Student Globe Roamers
Box 6575 Hollywood,
Florida 33021



Fairy Tale

Presidential candidate Howard Dickler relates an original fairy tale Tuesday night at the all-college meeting. Dickler withdrew from the election Tuesday night after the constitutionality of the elections was challenged.

History Majors Debate Negotiating Committee

by John Oslor

A suggested committee to represent history majors and negotiate with the faculty for changes in the department's structure, met with mixed reaction at a meeting of 30 students and seven faculty from the history department Thursday afternoon.

After an hour and one-half of discussion, George B. Cooper, chairman of the department, told the meeting he would refuse to meet with the committee if it was established.

"I can tell you frankly I don't want a committee. Period. You can appoint one; but don't expect to see me," Cooper said.

Cooper said he objected to the "union vocabulary" used by the students who supported the negotiating committee. He told the students they should "just do a lot of reading; don't try to negotiate."

Speaking in favor of the negotiating committee, David W. Green '71 said that open meetings with all the majors and faculty were not the best means of ironing out specific proposals. "Smaller groups," he said, were "necessary to make some recommendations."

Richard R. Wyland '70 opposed the negotiating committee maintaining that it would be used as a platform by the "more radical" students in the department.

Anthony G. Netting, assistant professor, also spoke against appointing a negotiating committee. Netting said that the "crucial weakness" of student committees was that they failed to involve non-member students in the issues before them.

"They are great for the students who are on the committees," Netting said, "but how about

the students who aren't?"

Students at the meeting complained that the department's junior seminars were unsuccessful and did not involve students in the philosophy of history.

Netting said that he was also dissatisfied with the seminars.

"Seminars don't take at Trinity College as well as they do at other colleges," he said.

Asked for his opinion of the major's in the department, J. Ronald Spencer, assistant professor, said it was impossible to "generalize" about the history majors.

"At least a significant minority" of history majors cannot "put together a reasonably coherent English paragraph," Spencer said.

Netting and Spencer said their strongest criticism was that some majors complete the department's program without acquiring a "feel for history."

Student Teaching

Proposal for student-taught courses during the Christmas Term, 1970-71, must be submitted by noon, Tuesday, March 10.

Interested students should contact the office of external affairs or the office of academic affairs.

Yale Group Asks Fewer Admissions

by Susannah Heschel

A student organization that calls itself "Women and Men for a Better Yale" has sent out a petition at Yale to cut future undergraduate admissions from 1200 to 1000 starting with the class of 1974. The petition also asked that students be admitted to Yale without regard to sex.

The petition drew over 1700 signatures in three days. The group feels that the reduction in admissions is necessary to maintain the general quality of Yale life. They cited overcrowding of housing and "dilution of educational facilities."

Steve Lieberman, a member of the group, stated: "Our goal is that Yale become a fully-coeducational institution." The present policy of the College according to Lieberman, is to be "a male institution with a few women tacked on."

Kingman Brewster, president of the University, stated: "I think it would be far better for Yale and the country to coeducate by expansion." He said that he believed this could be done while maintaining the number of men in each class at 1000.

ABC PIZZA HOUSE

Across from Trinity College
287 New Britain Ave.,
Hartford

"Call before you leave
the Campus"

Phone 247-0234

Mon. - Thur. 11 a.m. - 12 p.m.

Fri. and Sat. 11 a.m. - 1 a.m.

Sun. - 12 a.m. - 11 p.m.

HOWIE'S

Golden Oak
Package Store

1080 Broad Street

Wines,

Imported Beers,

Kegs

Teachers!

WANT A BRIGHTER FUTURE?

During our 60 years' experience, we have successfully placed thousands of teachers in public and private schools and colleges throughout the United States.

Write or phone us for more information about our confidential professional placement service.

Cary Teachers of Hartford

242 Trumbull Street, Hartford, Conn.
Phone (203) 525-2133