Terrorist Attacks Expose Insecurity

Progressive Activists Can Help Explain Why This Happened

HENRY ROSEMONT, JR.

The world changed on September 11th, a day of violence inflicted on human beings by other human beings on a scale unparalleled on United States soil since the close of the Civil War. Resist grieves for the loss of lives and denounces the horrendous terrorist attacks that caused them. The actions of the perpetrators of this crime are altogether deserving of the condemnation they have received from the majority of the world’s peoples. RESIST also denounces all xenophobic reactions against Arab-Americans, Asian-Americans, Muslims and people who “look Middle Eastern.” This scapegoating can only further the goals of terrorism and systemic racism.

Whether state-sanctioned or the acts of individuals or groups, terrorism is indefensible. Calls for vengeance come from many places—the US government, sensationalist media, military spokespersons, neighbors. Seemingly blinded by fury, many call for massive retaliation despite the lack of a clear target. And although President Bush called the suicide air crashes in New York, Washington and Pennsylvania “acts of war,” his “eye for an eye” kind of response—when coupled with his thinly-veiled threat of declaring any part of the world suspected of harboring terrorists as a free-fire zone—can only result in more bloodshed.

History has shown that such a response will not reduce the causes of the animus toward the US that is held by an increasing number of the world’s inhabitants. Retaliation and bombing will not reduce significantly the number of volunteers for suicide efforts to destroy this nation—a nation that is being seen by an increasing number of people the world over as the enemy.

Many Americans display the US flag as a symbol of the ideals of equality, freedom and justice; others (both in the US and abroad) destroy it as a symbol of oppression, economic exploitation and military violence. Sustained US military action against “terrorists and those who hide them” will not change the way the symbol is interpreted, especially beyond US borders. Terrorism comes from specific root causes and environments, and the kinds of terrorist actions that the US recently experienced do not arise spontaneously or without provocation. As Howard Zinn wrote, we need to think about the resentment all over the world felt by people who have been victims of American military action... in Vietnam... Chile and El Salvador and other countries.... In Iraq.... and perhaps most important for understanding the current situation, in the occupied territories of the West Bank and Gaza.

Many of the world’s peoples believe their suffering stems from United States support of their tormentors, and it is a vain hope that waging war would alter their perception of the United States as the enemy. War will not in any way better the lives of the millions of people who suffer daily because of actions taken by the United States government—people in whose name those murderous hijackers were willing to surrender their own lives. Seeking out and destroying Osama bin Laden and his followers will not bring security to the United States, nor will it serve the causes of freedom, justice, or democracy. And, continued unqualified support by the United States for the Israelis in their war against the Palestinians, and military over-flights and economic sanctions against the Iraqis, will not serve the causes of freedom, justice or democracy.

As for the American people, the sabre-rattling that now grows louder and more ominous can only be expected to heighten the agonies visited on them on September 11. War will not alleviate... continued on page seven
Ten years ago, as he began the war still raging against Iraq, President George Bush claimed he had popular support, and said he didn’t even see a peace movement. It wasn’t his “vision thing”; he just wasn’t looking.

While Bush Sr. watched Pentagon videos on CNN, the Nuclear Resister newsletter reported over 6,000 anti-war arrests (at more than 225 protests in 27 states) between August 1990 and April 1991. Scores of activists were imprisoned, their prison addresses published along with the addresses of more than 40 public conscientious objectors in military briggs.

Throughout the 1980s, the Nuclear Resister had addressed only anti-nuclear civil disobedience and prisoner support. But the war against Iraq brought the “deadly connection” between US nuclear and conventional warfare into focus with depleted uranium bullets, fuel-air explosives, and standoff tactics while hinting at nuclear attack. Since then, the Nuclear Resister has reported over 12,000 anti-war arrests, in addition to more than 50,000 anti-nuclear arrests since 1980, in the US alone.

In all that time, not a single day has passed without at least a handful of activists, and sometimes hundreds, behind bars for these direct actions.

The surge of anti-nuclear resistance in the 1970s and ’80s helped to kill commercial nuclear power, cut the number of nuclear warheads, drive tactical nuclear missiles from Europe and ships at sea, and stall Reagan’s Star Wars initiative. Nevada Test Site protests into the ’90s helped bring a moratorium on nuclear tests and the signing of a comprehensive test ban treaty.

Predictably, President Bush Jr. now seeks to abrogate that accord. His friends are peddling more nuclear power and Star Wars Redux. Only obsolete nukes were abandoned while smarter weapons with deadliest aim now take their place.

A resistance movement that never went away is responding with rejuvenated vigor. People of all ages and backgrounds, from a variety of political and spiritual roots, are engaging in civil disobedience. Christians and atheists, pacifists and militants, liberals, socialists and anarchists, are active in an impressive array of grassroots nonviolent direct action campaigns.

The largest number of imprisoned war resisters result from two broad-based, finely focused campaigns: one to stop Navy bombardment of Vieques, Puerto Rico, and the other to close the infamous School of the Americas at Fort Benning, Georgia.

In both campaigns, resisters in prison inspire more people to greater commitment in the struggle, and have brought the attention of policy makers and the public to the issue. Puerto Rican and even some federal officials have refused to cooperate in the prosecution and imprisonment of independentistas, church leaders, politicians, labor organizers, students and prominent US mainland supporters. The Secretary of the Navy declared the political situation in Puerto Rico “untenable” and supports an end to bombing there. Military leaders are also acutely aware that communities affected by US military training in Korea, Japan, the Philippines and Europe are encouraged by the Vieques resisters.

At the School of the Americas, the name was changed to the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation, but the mercenary mission remains, and two dozen more resisters were imprisoned last summer. The clear demand of the SOA-Watch group has brought thousands in recent years to cross the line at Fort Benning, Georgia. Each year, larger groups of resisters are prosecuted for repeat trespass and sentenced to prison, usually for six months. Each year, more take their place at the November mass protests.

Religious faith, an integral part of the resistance in Vieques and at Ft. Benning, is also often the foundation for acts of nuclear resistance.

An enduring example of this is the Plowshares movement, begun in 1980 to enact the biblical prophecy of Isaiah. More than 70 Plowshares groups have “beat swords into plowshares,” by physically disarming weapons systems with simple hand tools. The British Trident Ploughshares movement also advocates physically dismantling the weapons, but has enlisted broader secular participation by promoting international law foremost.

A legal defense based on developing continued on page three
Anti-War Resisters Keep on Pressure
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principles of international law has long been favored by nuclear resisters in court. Following the 1996 World Court opinion that found no legal basis to prepare for nuclear war, new action scenarios have been developed. International law, or a Nuremberg obligation to prevent war crimes, is no longer simply a defense. In many recent actions in the US and at US nuclear bases in Europe, people risk arrest to uphold and enforce international law: sometimes as citizen weapons inspectors seeking evidence of war crimes, and other times accepting the obvious evidence and acting to deliver citizens' indictments to commanding officers, block workers' access, and begin the physical work of disarmament.

Victories in court are still uncommon, probably because judges rarely consider and juries are often barred from hearing the international law evidence. But in Kitsap County, Washington, where the Trident nuclear submarine docks at Bangor, the local prosecutor says criminal charges are unwarranted because both a jury and a judge acquitted groups from the Ground Zero Center for Nonviolent Action who claimed an international law defense.

The reluctance of local prosecutors is also seen at the Nevada Test Site; at the headquarters of Lockheed-Martin near Philadelphia; at the Livermore and Los Alamos nuclear weapons labs; and at the Oak Ridge, Tennessee, nuclear weapons plant. Hundreds of citizen inspectors swarming onto US nuclear bases in Holland, Belgium and Britain have been arrested, but never brought to trial.

Nuclear and war resisters recognize the Bush Star Wars plan as a shield for waging offensive war from space and assuring a US nuclear first-strike capability. Resistance has returned to California's Vandenberg Air Force Base, a key Star Wars test facility and site of 1980's back-country occupations and a Plowshares disarmament action. Two Catholic Workers were imprisoned after a May protest at the gate, including eleven and a half years in solitary confinement.

Vanunu had come to believe that the clandestine nuclear weapons program he'd glimpsed at Dimona—and then secretly photographed—was the enemy of peace and the democratic ideal Israel proclaims. "I Am Your Spy," a poem written in his first months of imprisonment, explained his action: "...I have no choice. I'm a little man, a citizen, one of the people. But I'll do what I have to. I've heard the voice of my conscience and there's nowhere to hide...."

Opinions about Vanunu vary greatly among Israelis. Many view him as a traitor and spy. Others consider him to be a prisoner of conscience, and a whistleblower in a country that is officially ambiguous about its nuclear arsenal.

Free Mordechaa Vanunu

Nuclear Whistle-Blower Still In Prison

JACK AND FELICE COHEN-JAPPA

Mordechaa Vanunu knew there were risks involved. But back in 1986, this former technician at Israel's Dimona nuclear facility could not have imagined that telling the truth to the London Sunday Times about Israel's secret nuclear weapons arsenal would lead to his being drugged, kidnaped, and returned to Israel for a secret trial, convicted of treason and espionage and sentenced to 18 years in prison, including eleven and a half years in solitary confinement.

Vanunu's sentence expired in 2004. Although the Israeli government has done its best to silence Vanunu and his message of nuclear disarmament, his prison poetry and letters have carried his steadfast convictions around the world. An international movement calls for his immediate and unconditional release. To mark the 15th anniversary of his kidnapping and imprisonment, vigils were held on September 25 in Oslo, London, Washington, DC, San Francisco, New Delhi and other cities around the world. Last May, Norway's Tromso University awarded Mordechaa Vanunu an honorary doctorate, received on his behalf by his brother Meir.

This past August, on the anniversary of the atomic bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Knesset member Issam Makhoul wrote a letter to Israel's president, appealing for Mordechaa's release. In spite of these efforts, Israeli authorities show no sign of releasing Mordechaa Vanunu before his sentence expires in 2004.

Letters of support can be sent to Mordechaa Vanunu, Ashkelon Prison, Ashkelon, Israel. The US Campaign to Free Mordechaa Vanunu is a Resist grantee and can be contacted at POB 43384, Tucson, AZ 85733 or visit www.nonviolence.org/nukeresister.
Missile Defense is a Trojan Horse

Control and Domination the Reality of Space Program

BRUCE GAGNON

Missile defense is a Trojan horse. The Pentagon tells the American people, and the rest of the world, that missile defense is a gift to protect us from attack by a rogue state that might send a nuclear weapon toward the US. The recent terrorist attacks in NYC and DC clearly reveal that anyone thinking of attacking the US will not do so with a missile that leaves an easily traceable “signature.” Instead, terrorists will find ways to “underfly” Star Wars systems and bring bombs to American targets via suitcases or small boats. If the US really wants to protect itself, the best thing to do would be to hold a real national dialogue about why people around the world are so upset with our economic and military policies. Initiatives like Star Wars distract people from the real dialogue and hurdle resources in its construction.

Documents like the Space Command’s Vision for 2020 and the recent Rumsfeld Commission report clearly indicate that US plans for space are really about “control and domination” of the heavens and the Earth below. Vision for 2020 is a US Space Command planning document that explicitly calls for the US to control and dominate space. Appointed by Newt Gingrich, the Rumsfeld Commission issued a report saying that the US faced a viable threat of nuclear weapons, and that such a threat would be minimized by a “defense” shield. Developing the ability to “deny other countries access to space,” Vision 2020 notes, will allow the US to be the “Master of Space.”

The politicians and their aerospace corporation allies know that they can’t come to the American people and ask for hundreds of billions of dollars for an “offensive” space warfare program, especially when our traditional nuclear enemies are eager to negotiate arms reductions. But by dressing the program up as some kind of gigantic present, and calling it missile defense, the Pentagon has effectively shifted the debate away from their true plans.

The recent appointment by George W. Bush of General Richard Meyers to be the new Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff is an indication of the direction the Pentagon is heading. Gen. Meyers, the former head of the US Space Command, will be responsible for consolidating support for the nuclearization and weaponization of space within the military and selling it to the Congress and the public.

Simulated War Games

Last January, the US Space Command held a simulated computer warfare “game” set in the year 2017. The “Blues” (US) went to war with the “Reds” (China). The Blue team launched a preemptive first-strike attack on China using the military space plane, the now planned successor to NASA’s space shuttle.

The second weapon used in the January computer war game was the space-based laser (SBL). The SBL is a resurrected technology from Ronald Reagan’s Star Wars vision that would be an orbiting battle station whose laser would knock out other countries’ satellites in space and hit targets on the Earth below. Last December the Pentagon announced the start of the SBL testing program at NASA’s Stennis Missile Center in southwest Mississippi. By the year 2012 they hope to deploy the first operational SBL to be eventually followed by a constellation of 18-48 of the weapons orbiting the planet. Power for the SBL would be provided by on-board nuclear reactors. The Pentagon estimates the SBL testing program will cost over $30 billion.

Lockheed Martin, TRW, Boeing, and Raytheon are the top four Star Wars contractors. Their campaign contributions to Republicans and Democrats alike have paved the road for nearly unchallenged appropriations of research and development (R & D) funding for the many different technologies now under way. The Pentagon figures that by opening up many different Star Wars project lines that they will be able to increase the money flow and over time get some of them to work. So-called missile defense systems thus get the pipeline moving.

The Machinists Union has recently produced a video called Bombs Bursting in Air that promotes the “missile defense” program and calls upon both parties to fund a “robust” R & D effort. Bush is asking for $8.3 billion for Star Wars R & D in 2002 and it appears that Congress will give him the bulk of that request.

It is possible to conclude that before it is finished, the new Star Wars program will cost many hundreds of billions of dollars. (Already over $120 billion has been wasted on space weapons development since the Eisenhower administration began work on the technologies with the help of ex-Nazi rocket scientists following WW II.) Knowing that recent tax cuts will eat up the national budget surplus, it is easy to see that further funding for Star Wars will be paid for by raiding human needs programs like Social Security, health care, family farm subsidies, education, day care, and the like. Funding for enforcement of the Endangered Species Act struggles to survive with a meager $6 million annual appropriation while Star Wars rages on.

Market Weapons for Profit

It is always important to remember that the number one industrial export of the US today is weapons. If shoes were our principal export product we’d have a global marketing strategy to put a shoe on every person’s foot in the whole world. But when weapons are your chief export, what is the marketing strategy?

Try regional instability. Today the US arms all sides in the Middle East and the region is total chaos. The US is now moving...
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ing to create the same situation in the Asian-Pacific region by expanding US military operations in that part of the world and by selling weapons to South Korea, Japan, Taiwan, Singapore, Indonesia, Australia and more.

The Washington Post, in a May 26, 2000 article, stated that the US will now begin to “manage China.” Today the US is widening and lengthening the runways on Guam and Wake Island in the Pacific to handle the B-1 and B-2 bombers. Cruise missiles are being prepositioned on Guam and a new program, Theatre Missile Defense (TMD), is being planned for deployment surrounding China.

China has 20 nuclear missiles capable of hitting the continental US while we have 7,500 that could “hit” China back. Unlike Russia, which is now fully under the control of the IMF and World Bank and is virtually surrounded by an expanding NATO, China remains the one independent actor in the world today not fully under US corporate control.

TMD systems would be forward deployed on the air, land, and sea and would allow the US to virtually surround the coastal regions of China. TMD systems are designed to immediately hit missiles in what is called their “boost phase.” Deploying TMD systems around China will force them to decide if they should respond by increasing their own military capability, thus giving the US weapons industry the gift they are after—a new enemy and the justification for a new arms race.

Today we hear many leading Democrats say they are opposed to deployment of National Missile Defense (NMD), the system designed to protect the continental US from attack by hitting a bullet with a bullet while the “offending” missile is high above in deep space. (Interestingly, the Democrats voted overwhelmingly in support of deployment of missile defense in April, 1999. The House voted 345-71 and the Senate 97-3.) While Democrats routinely claim opposition to deployment of NMD, they strongly support deployment of Theatre Missile Defense and they are pushing the “robust” R & D program for the whole Star Wars program.

The underlying issue at hand is corporate global control and domination. The US Space Command is viewed as the new military arm of the corporate globalization ef-

fort. The Vision for 2020 states that due to current economic realities the gap between “haves and have nots” will be widening in coming years. As a result, the Space Command maintains that there will be more regional instability as workers organize to oppose slave labor working conditions and a loss of democratic rights. By developing the new program of “control and domination” from space, the US Pentagon intends to suppress any “regional” hotspot without having to commit major troop deployments. All warfare on the Earth is now coordinated from space. Those who control space will be in a position to be the Masters of Space and the Earth.

The Global Network Against Weapons & Nuclear Power in Space has called for an “International Day of Protest to Stop the Militarization of Space” on October 13. Local actions are being planned in over 17 countries and at nearly 100 sites including US military bases, aerospace corporation facilities, federal buildings, and embassies.

We must create a global debate about the real intentions of U.S. Star Wars plans. Missile defense must be revealed for the sham that it is. We must show the public documents like Vision for 2020 and make the case that the weaponization of space will create more global instability rather than make us more secure. And we must remind the public that our government will not be able to deliver on social promises while paying for space weapons research and development.

More than a technical question, the whole Star Wars program being promoted by both major parties is a moral and ethical issue. What right does the US have to move the arms race into the heavens? What will be the environmental consequences when space-based lasers, powered with nuclear reactors, tumble back to Earth and spread deadly plutonium worldwide as the satellite burns up upon hitting the atmosphere?

This debate must be taken into our local communities, to the very front door of those who are working to create a new arms race in space. We must move now to keep space for peace.

Bruce Gagnon is the director of Global Network Against Weapons and Nuclear Power in Space, which received a grant from Resist in 1999. For more information, and a list of resources, contact them at PO Box 90083, Gainesville, FL 32607; www.space4peace.org; globalnet@mindspring.com. The following resources may be obtained from the Global Network:

- Space Organizers Packet - $5 (includes a copy of Vision for 2020)
- Karl Grossman’s new book entitled Weapons in Space - $8
- Bruce Gagnon’s video of his Keep Space for Peace presentation - $18
Reflections of a Nuclear Resister

An Interview with Activist Bonnie Urfer

FELICE AND JACK COHEN-JAPPA

Bonnie Urfer, co-director of Nukewatch, recently completed a six month federal prison sentence for her part in the Silence Trident action of June, 2000. She and co-defendant Mike Sprong cut down three large cedar poles that supported the Project ELF antenna, an essential Trident nuclear submarine communication system.

Jack and Felice Cohen-Joppa asked Bonnie these questions several days after she returned home.

Why are you willing to go to jail as part of your work for social change?

Bonnie: I firmly believe that large-scale resistance is the key to social change. The fact that too few people engage in resistance does not deter me from doing what I feel is right. Jail is a consequence for acting in good conscience, not the goal, but going to jail is preferable to complicity in our culture of fear and ignorance.

How do you respond to the criticism that going to jail is a waste of an activist's time and skills?

Bonnie: If we knew what worked aside from going to jail, we'd have justice. It's the resistance to injustice, the politics of the heart that are important. Writing letters, signing petitions, calling representatives, writing fact sheets, performing street theatre, purchasing organics and green, etc. are all important, but nothing moves a system like noncompliance.

Prison and jail are microcosms of our broader system. It's the only thing I've ever experienced that wipes away the advertising, neon lights, fashion and every other disguise and distraction leaving the naked truth of who we are and the damage we collectively engage in. It's one of the best universities available.

What do you think is the importance to our movement of people going to jail for civil disobedience actions?

Bonnie: All past successful struggles included resistance—women's suffrage, the 40-hour workweek, civil rights, improved working conditions, the end to Apartheid, India's independence, free speech. If those in power do not face loss of control, there is no need for change. It is the willingness to defy tradition and status quo that forces movement.

Did you consider yourself a political prisoner?

Bonnie: I consider all prisoners to be political, most just don't realize it. If we lived in a fair and just society, we would have no prisons. If we were not inundated with propaganda glorifying our own terrorism, we would have no prisons. If the U.S. government did not spend more on weapons of war than China, Russia and all non-NATO nations combined, we wouldn't have prisons.

How important is the role of supporters to your action, trial and imprisonment?

Bonnie: Without support I could not have engaged in a disarmament action. Numerous friends helped in the planning, preparation, transportation, outreach, trial, festivals, fundraising and taking my place at Nukewatch and Anathoth Community Farm during my absence. It takes a community of visionaries to achieve peace.

What impact does going to jail have on you personally?

Bonnie: It's hard being away from home. I miss good conversation and living with people who trust me and people I can unconditionally trust. I question my decision to engage in resistance. I find that it often takes as long to recover from the jail experience as the amount of time I spent inside; it's a very inhumane and cold system. Going to jail and prison gives me the opportunity to question, think, discern and analyze many things. It's always a valuable experience.

Which is more difficult for you: the transition going into jail or prison, or the transition of coming out?

Bonnie: Coming out without a doubt. I hate leaving anyone in a jail or prison. It's a place that, aside from feeling vulnerable to police, I feel safe. I've never felt in danger from other prisoners.

In coming out I recognize that most everyone outside is living in a self-imposed prison. People go to jobs they hate in stuffy buildings, live in unhealthy or uncomfortable situations, dutifully obey advertisers, pay outrageous costs for goods that keep them in nasty jobs. People live in fear of everything—neighbors, tax bills, the government, resisters, foreigners, no TV — and this all seems much clearer in coming out of jail and it usually makes me sad.

I wonder why there is more fear of prison than of annihilation from nuclear weapons.

Many imprisoned activists comment about the education they receive about the criminal justice system while incarcerated. What should peace and social justice activists know about jails and prisons in the USA?

Bonnie: Jails and prisons are for the poor and disenfranchised. The U.S. penal system may well be the most racist system ever devised. Prisons with the harshest conditions hold the most minorities.

No one is rehabilitated in jail or prison. When one leaves an institution, nothing has changed outside. Individuals have little choice but to return to the same family, friends and network of familiarity and habit they knew before, but with great disadvantage since most have lost housing, property, jobs and relationships with children and family. Almost everyone who enters jail comes out angrier, poorer and more broken than when they went in.

Yet jail and prison are survivable. Human beings have an amazing capacity to adapt to the worst of conditions. And contrary to popular misconceptions, people in prison are wonderfully creative.
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industrious, and in many ways more liberated than when outside.

What was your day to day routine like in jail or prison?

Bonnie: I was in a federal prison camp in Greenville, Illinois, a proverbial “club fed.”

Every day started with an announcement for breakfast at 6:00 a.m., “Main line is open, main line is now open.” I never had to purchase an alarm clock. Beds needed to be made and cubicles tidied before walking off to work at 7:30.

I worked in the prison garden Monday through Friday. On Tuesday and Thursday afternoons I had an afternoon art class. In the evenings and on weekends I had the choice of reading, writing, playing games, walking the track, watching videos, visiting with others, doing art work, washing clothes, cleaning or sleeping.

The dining room had a fair selection of hot and cold, meat or vegetarian dishes. The compound included a huge gymnasium with exercise equipment, pool tables, ping pong, basketball and more. Prisoners were allowed outside at all hours (although not on the track after dark) except for “count time.”

Living at Greenville was quite easy.

Can you imagine yourself returning to jail or prison in the future?

Bonnie: Yes, and it may not take long. I presently have one year of supervised release with “freedom” dependent upon payment of restitution for cutting the poles at Project ELF. In good conscience I don’t feel I can pay for the repair of a fence which may be tempting now to speak out publicly about all I can do is cry.

Resistance is the secret of my joy.
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Resist stands ready to support groups engaged in organizing a progressive response to those calling for mobilization of troops, military build ups and curbs on civil/human rights as a result of the attacks in New York and Washington.

Resist provides Emergency Grants of up to $300 on an "as-needed" basis. These grants are designed to help groups respond quickly to unexpected organizing needs. While it is impossible to precisely define an emergency, these grants are generally given to provide support for demonstrations or other events arising from a political crisis. These grants are not intended to provide a safety net for groups who have failed to adequately plan for their financial needs, or who have missed the regular funding deadline.

Although it is frequently difficult to precisely define what constitutes an emergency, previous grant examples include: 1) the Emergency Committee Against the War in Iraq received a grant to organize a rally to protest the proposed bombing raids in Iraq; 2) Kitchen Table: Women of Color Press was burglarized and received an emergency grant to purchase basic supplies; 3) the Merrimack New Hampshire School Board passed a regulation stating teachers could not hold any discussions related to gay or lesbian issues and a coalition received an emergency grant to organize an immediate protest.

The Emergency Grant Application and Guidelines are available on Resist’s website at www.resistinc.org. Unlike the regular application process, applicants may email these requests for consideration. A decision will be made within 48 hours (or less) of receipt of the request.

Resist has given out two emergency grants to groups responding to the crisis arising from the September 11 attacks.

**Boston Mobilization**
971 Commonwealth Avenue, #20, Boston, MA 02215
617/782-2313; bostoncan@hotmail.com

Boston Mobilization (formerly Mobilization for Survival) was founded in 1977 to oppose nuclear weapons and power, and to support the Boston-area peace movement. Boston Mobilization has worked to end apartheid in South Africa, stop US support for repression in Latin America, oppose the Israeli occupation of Palestine and fight sanctions on Iraq.

Boston Mobilization received a Resist grant of $300 to support a progressive response to the recent attacks on New York and Washington, DC and the US mobilization for war, including campus teach-ins, community meetings, rallies and vigils.

**Peace Action New Mexico**
226 Fiesta Street, Santa Fe, NM 87501
505/989-4812; Peaceactionnm@aol.com

Peace Action New Mexico was formed in 1998 bringing together activists formerly involved in SANE/FREEZE who had been organizing against the nuclear weapons race and the threat of nuclear war. Peace action continues to work for the abolition of nuclear weapons, re-directing military expenditures to domestic investment, ending global weapons trafficking and seeking non-military resolutions to international conflicts.

Peace Action New Mexico received a Resist grant of $300 to support a community meeting to discuss peaceful alternatives to retribution and vengeance in response to the attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon.

---

**Join the Resist Pledge Program**

We’d like you to consider becoming a Resist Pledge. Pledges account for over 30% of our income.

By becoming a pledge, you help guarantee Resist a fixed and dependable source of income on which we can build our grant-making program. In return, we will send you a monthly pledge letter and reminder along with your newsletter. We will keep you up-to-date on the groups we have funded and the other work being done at Resist.

So take the plunge and become a Resist Pledge! We count on you, and the groups we fund count on us.

---

Donations to Resist are tax-deductible.

Resist • 259 Elm Street • Suite 201 • Somerville • MA • 02144